

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Local efficiency of integrated goodness-of-fit tests under skew alternatives

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1576348> since 2016-06-29T15:11:12Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.spl.2016.05.016

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is an author version of the contribution published on:

Questa è la versione dell'autore dell'opera:

*[A. Durio and Ya.Yu. Nikitin, "Local Efficiency of Integrated Goodness-of-fit Test Under Skew Alternatives", Statistics and Probability Letters, **117**, 2016, 136-143]*

The definitive version is available at:

La versione definitiva è disponibile alla URL:

[<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167715216300682>]

LOCAL EFFICIENCY OF INTEGRATED GOODNESS-OF-FIT TESTS UNDER SKEW ALTERNATIVES

A. Durio^a, Ya.Yu.Nikitin^{b,c,*}

^a*Department of Economics "S. Cignetti de Martiis", University of Turin, Lungo Dora Siena 100/A, 10153, Torino, Italy*

^b*Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, Saint Petersburg State University, 7/9 Universitetskaya nab., St. Petersburg, 199034 Russia*

^c*National Research University - Higher School of Economics, Souza Pechatnikov, 16, St.Petersburg 190008, Russia*

Abstract

The efficiency of distribution-free *integrated* goodness-of-fit tests was studied by Henze and Nikitin (2000, 2002) under location alternatives. We calculate local Bahadur efficiencies of these tests under more realistic generalized skew alternatives. They turn out to be unexpectedly high.

Keywords: Integrated empirical process, Bahadur efficiency, skew alternative
2000 MSC: 62G10, 62G20, 62G30

1. Introduction

Goodness-of-fit testing is one of the most important problems in Statistics. If the hypothetical distribution is continuous, one can apply distribution-free tests based on functionals of the empirical process. Most known tests of such type are the Kolmogorov and Cramér-von Mises tests and their variants, see, e.g., [16] and [15].

In search of new distribution-free tests with possibly better efficiency properties, Henze and Nikitin [9], [10] proposed new test statistics based on the *integrated* empirical process. They found their limiting distributions and calculated local Bahadur efficiencies for location alternatives. These efficiencies are comparable with the efficiencies of usual distribution-free tests, but there exist also some interesting distinctions in favor of these new tests. Gradually statistical inference using integrated empirical processes becomes quite popular, see, e.g., [1], [6], [11] and [12].

*Corresponding author

Email address: yanikit47@mail.ru (Ya.Yu.Nikitin)

However, the location alternative is a simplest alternative which is not very realistic in practice, particularly because it preserves the symmetry of the underlying distribution. In many situations it is more reasonable to assume asymmetric alternative models. The most interesting and simple example of such alternative models in the case of normal distribution was introduced in [2]. Let Φ and φ denote the distribution function and the density of the standard normal law. Azzalini [2] proposed the skew-normal distribution depending on the real parameter θ and having the density

$$g(x, \theta) = 2\varphi(x)\Phi(\theta x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \theta \geq 0.$$

It is evident that for any θ the function $g(x, \theta)$ is a density and that for $\theta = 0$ we get the standard normal density. Later the properties of Azzalini's skew-normal model and its generalizations were considered in numerous papers. Finally they were described and collected in [3].

For any symmetric distribution function F with the density f and any symmetric distribution function G with the density g we can consider the *generalized skew distribution* with the density

$$h(x, \theta) = 2f(x)G(\theta x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \theta \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

Note that this model is more general than that considered in [7] and [8] in view of the emergence of almost arbitrary distribution function G instead of initial distribution function F . This model is described and advocated in [3].

It is quite interesting to calculate the efficiencies of integrated distribution-free tests mentioned above under the generalized skew alternative (1). We select the Bahadur efficiency as it is well-adapted for such calculations while other types of efficiencies such as Pitman, Chernoff or Hodges-Lehmann are not applicable or do not discriminate between two-sided tests. See [15] for details concerning the calculation of efficiencies and their interrelations.

The calculation of local Bahadur efficiency of common distribution-free tests under skew alternatives was performed in [7] and [8]. In the present paper we calculate the efficiencies of the *integrated* tests under the more general alternative (1).

General expressions for local Bahadur efficiencies in case of one-parameter families of alternatives can be found in [15]. However we cannot apply them as the alternative (1) requires some additional analysis. This analysis was partially done in [7], [8]. We use corresponding results in sections 2 and 3 when calculating the efficiencies for five examples of symmetric distributions with different tail behaviors. These efficiencies are taken together in Table 1 of Section 4. They demonstrate that the efficiencies of integrated tests are appreciably higher than of usual tests. Section 5 is devoted to the analysis of local optimality of tests under consideration.

2. Tests Based on Integrated Empirical Process.

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from the density $h(x, \theta)$ given by (1) and depending on the known symmetric density f and symmetric distribution function G , and a real parameter $\theta \geq 0$. Let

$$H(x, \theta) = 2 \int_{-\infty}^x f(u)G(\theta u)du, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \theta \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

be the distribution function corresponding to this density. We want to test the goodness-of-fit hypothesis $H_0 : \theta = 0$ against the alternative $H_1 : \theta > 0$. Let F_n be the empirical distribution function based on the sample X_1, \dots, X_n .

Some well-known goodness-of-fit tests are based on the Kolmogorov statistic

$$D_n = \sqrt{n} \sup_t |F_n(t) - F(t)|,$$

on the Chapman – Moses statistic

$$\omega_n^1 = \sqrt{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (F_n(t) - F(t))dF(t),$$

on the Cramér – von Mises statistic

$$\omega_n^2 = n \int_{\mathbb{R}} (F_n(t) - F(t))^2 dF(t),$$

and on the Watson statistic

$$U_n^2 = n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(F_n(t) - F(t) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (F_n(s) - F(s))dF(s) \right)^2 dF(t).$$

These statistics are distribution-free and can be considered as functionals of the empirical processes

$$\beta_n(x) = \sqrt{n}(F_n(x) - F(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

or

$$\alpha_n(u) = \sqrt{n}(G_n(u) - u), \quad 0 \leq u \leq 1,$$

where the empirical distribution function G_n is based on the uniform sample $F(X_j), j = 1, \dots, n$. Clearly $\beta_n(x) = \alpha_n(F(x))$, and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} D_n &= \sup_x |\beta_n(x)| = \sup_u |\alpha_n(u)|, & \omega_n^1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\beta_n(x)) dF(x) = \int_0^1 \alpha_n(u) du, \\ \omega_n^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\beta_n(x))^2 dF(x) = \int_0^1 \alpha_n^2(u) du, \\ U_n^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\beta_n(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \beta_n(s) dF(s) \right)^2 dF(x) = \int_0^1 (\alpha_n(u) - \int_0^1 \alpha_n(s) ds)^2 du. \end{aligned}$$

Henze and Nikitin, see [9] and [10], proposed similar but more complicated statistics based on the *integrated empirical process* and studied their Bahadur local efficiency for the location alternative. Let

$$\bar{F}_n(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x F_n(t) dF(t), \quad \bar{F}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x F(t) dF(t) = \frac{1}{2} F^2(x)$$

denote the *integrated* empirical distribution function and the *integrated* hypothetical distribution function respectively. Then the integrated empirical process is

$$B_n(x) = \sqrt{n} [\bar{F}_n(x) - \bar{F}(x)] = \int_{-\infty}^x \beta_n(t) dF(t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

while the integrated uniform empirical process becomes

$$A_n(u) = \int_0^u \alpha_n(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq u \leq 1.$$

The integrated analogs of the classical statistics D_n , ω_n^1 , ω_n^2 and U_n^2 were defined in [9, 10] as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D}_n &= \sup_x |B_n(x)| = \sup_u |A_n(u)|, \\ \bar{\omega}_n^1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_n(t) dF(t) = \int_0^1 A_n(u) du, & \bar{\omega}_n^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_n^2(t) dF(t) = \int_0^1 A_n^2(u) du, \\ \bar{U}_n^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(B_n(t) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_n(s) dF(s) \right)^2 dF(t) = \int_0^1 (A_n(u) - \int_0^1 A_n(s) ds)^2 du. \end{aligned}$$

Henze and Nikitin in [9] and [10] derived limiting distributions, large deviation asymptotics, local Bahadur efficiencies for location alternatives, and studied the conditions of local Bahadur optimality for these statistics. In next sections we will carry through this program under the generalized skew alternative (1).

3. Bahadur local efficiency: general expressions

In the rest of the paper, we consider alternative (1) with the symmetric density f having finite variance. The distribution function G and the density $g = G'$ are assumed to be symmetric as well. They all satisfy the following conditions.

Condition 1. We require that the density g with $g(0) > 0$ is positive and differentiable within its support. By symmetry we always have $g'(0) = 0$.

Condition 2. Let f and g be such that uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$H(x, \theta) - F(x) \sim 2\theta g(0) \int_{-\infty}^x u f(u) du, \quad \text{as } \theta \rightarrow 0,$$

where \sim is the usual sign of equivalence.

Condition 3. Suppose that

$$K(\theta) \sim 2g^2(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 f(x) dx \theta^2, \quad \text{as } \theta \rightarrow 0,$$

where $K(\theta)$ is the well-known Kullback – Leibler information [5]

$$K(\theta) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ln\{h(x, \theta)/h(x, 0)\} h(x, \theta) dx = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ln\{2G(\theta x)\} f(x) G(\theta x) dx.$$

These conditions are very natural and are valid for various densities f and g . Condition 2 was obtained by using the Taylor expansion of $G(\theta x)$ for small θ and extracting the leading term. To get the Condition 3, we use the expansion

$$y \ln y = y - 1 - \frac{1}{2}(y - 1)^2 + o\{(y - 1)^2\}, \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow 1,$$

which implies as $\theta \rightarrow 0$, for any x (since $g'(0) = 0$)

$$2G(\theta x) \ln\{2G(\theta x)\} = 2G(\theta x) - 1 + \frac{1}{2}\{2G(\theta x) - 1\}^2 + o(\theta^2) = 2g(0)\theta x + 2g^2(0)\theta^2 x^2 + o(\theta^2).$$

Substituting this in the definition of $K(\theta)$ above and integrating, we get under weak additional requirements the Condition 3.

It is not difficult to impose sufficient conditions on f and g ensuring such behavior but we prefer the formulation of regularity conditions in form of Conditions 1-3.

Now we describe in short the definition and calculation of Bahadur efficiency. Details can be found in [4], [5], and [15].

Suppose that $T = \{T_n\}$ is a sequence of statistics, such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

- a) $T_n \longrightarrow b(T, \theta)$ in probability under H_1 ;
- b) $n^{-1} \ln P(T_n \geq \varepsilon) \longrightarrow -r(T, \varepsilon)$ under H_0 ,

where the function $r(T, \varepsilon)$ is continuous in ε for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Condition a) is a variant of the law of large numbers under H_1 while condition b) is always non-trivial and describes the (logarithmic) large deviation behavior of test statistics under the null-hypothesis. Then the exact Bahadur slope is defined as

$$c(T, \theta) = 2r(T, b(T, \theta)),$$

while the local Bahadur efficiency is defined by

$$e^B(T) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0+} \frac{c(T, \theta)}{2K(\theta)}.$$

In all the examples considered in this paper we have

$$c(T, \theta) \sim l(T, f) 4g^2(0)\theta^2, \quad \text{as } \theta \rightarrow 0+, \quad (3)$$

where the functional $l(T, f)$ is called the local index. Then we have

$$e^B(T) = \frac{l(T, f)}{\sigma^2(f)}, \quad (4)$$

where $\sigma^2(f)$ is the variance of the density f .

For our test statistics \bar{D}_n , $\bar{\omega}_n^1$, $\bar{\omega}_n^2$ and \bar{U}_n^2 the function $b(T, \theta)$ was found in [9] and [10] in terms of alternative distribution function $H(x, \theta)$:

$$\begin{aligned} b(\bar{D}, \theta) &\equiv \sup_s \left| \int_{-\infty}^s (H(x, \theta) - F(x)) dF(x) \right|, \\ b(\bar{\omega}^1, \theta) &\equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^s (H(x, \theta) - F(x)) dF(x) \right] dF(s), \\ b(\bar{\omega}^2, \theta) &\equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^s (H(x, \theta) - F(x)) dF(x) \right]^2 dF(s), \\ b(\bar{U}^2, \theta) &\equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^s (H(x, \theta) - F(x)) dF(x) \right]^2 dF(s) - \end{aligned}$$

$$- \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^s (H(x, \theta) - F(x)) dF(x) \right] dF(s) \right)^2.$$

Using (2), regularity conditions 1 - 3, and setting

$$v(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x u f(u) du, \quad q(s) = \int_{-\infty}^s v(x) f(x) dx, \quad (5)$$

we easily arrive to the following expressions for the local representations of functions b as $\theta \rightarrow 0+$:

$$\begin{aligned} b(\bar{D}, \theta) &\sim 2\theta g(0) \sup_s |q(s)|, \quad b(\bar{\omega}^1, \theta) \sim 2\theta g(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(s) f(s) ds, \\ b(\bar{\omega}^2, \theta) &\sim 4\theta^2 g^2(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}} q^2(s) f(s) ds, \\ b(\bar{U}^2, \theta) &\sim 4\theta^2 g^2(0) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} q^2(s) f(s) ds - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(s) f(s) ds \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the large deviation asymptotics of integrated statistics from [9] and [10], we find the following local behavior of exact slopes for our test statistics as $\theta \rightarrow 0+$:

$$\begin{aligned} c(\bar{D}, \theta) &\sim 12b^2(\bar{D}, \theta), \quad c(\bar{\omega}^1, \theta) \sim 45b^2(\bar{\omega}^1, \theta), \\ c(\bar{\omega}^2, \theta) &\sim \mu_0 b(\bar{\omega}^2, \theta) \text{ with } \mu_0 = 31.2852..., \quad c(\bar{U}^2, \theta) \sim \pi^4 b(\bar{U}^2, \theta). \end{aligned}$$

Combining these formulas with the asymptotics of functions b given above, we easily obtain the expressions for the local exact indices $l(T, f)$, see (3), of our statistics. The factor $4g^2(0)$ disappears when calculating the local efficiency according to (4). Hence we may write

$$e^B(T) = \frac{l(T, f)}{\sigma^2(f)}. \quad (6)$$

We get now the following expressions for local indices of our statistics:

$$\begin{aligned} l(\bar{D}, f) &= 12 \sup_s q^2(s), \quad l(\bar{\omega}^1, f) = 45 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(s) f(s) ds \right)^2, \quad l(\bar{\omega}^2, f) = \mu_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} q^2(s) f(s) ds, \\ l(\bar{U}^2, f) &= \pi^4 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} q^2(s) f(s) ds - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(s) f(s) ds \right)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the efficiencies not depend on G .

4. Bahadur local efficiency: examples and discussion

We will calculate local indices for following five standard symmetric densities f :

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp(-x^2/2), & (\text{normal density}) \\ f_2(x) &= e^x / (1 + e^x)^2, & (\text{logistic density}) \\ f_3(x) &= 1 / (\pi(1 - x^2)^{1/2}) \mathbf{1}_{[-1, 1]}(x), & (\text{arcsine density}) \\ f_4(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{[-1, 1]}(x), & (\text{uniform density}) \\ f_5(x) &= 8 / (3\pi(1 + x^2)^3), & (\text{non-standardized Student-5 density.}) \end{aligned}$$

Using the notation (5) for all $f_i, i = 1, \dots, 5$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} v_1(x) &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-x^2/2}, x \in \mathbb{R}, & v_2(x) &= -\ln(1+e^x) + \frac{xe^x}{1+e^x}, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ v_3(x) &= -\frac{1}{\pi}\sqrt{1-x^2}, -1 \leq x \leq 1, & v_4(x) &= -\frac{1}{4}(1-x^2), -1 \leq x \leq 1, \\ v_5(x) &= -\frac{2}{3\pi(1+x^2)^2}, x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we calculate for our densities the functions $q_i, i = 1, \dots, 5$:

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(s) &= -\frac{\Phi(s\sqrt{2})}{2\sqrt{\pi}}, s \in \mathbb{R}, & q_2(s) &= \frac{1+e^s+se^{2s}-(e^{2s}-1)\ln(1+e^s)}{2(1+e^s)^2} - \frac{1}{2}, s \in \mathbb{R}, \\ q_3(s) &= -\frac{s+1}{\pi^2}, |s| \leq 1, & q_4(s) &= \frac{s^3-3s-2}{24}, |s| \leq 1, \\ q_5(s) &= -\frac{s(279+511s^2+385s^4+105s^6)+105(1+s^2)^4 \arctan(s)}{216\pi^2(1+s^2)^4} - \frac{35}{144\pi}, s \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we proceed to the calculation of local indices for our five densities. Observing that $\sup_s |q_i(s)|$ are respectively $1/(3\pi)$, $1/2$, $2/\pi^2$, $1/6$ and $35/(72\pi)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} l(\bar{D}_n, f_1) &= 0.95493, \quad l(\bar{D}_n, f_2) = 3, \quad l(\bar{D}_n, f_3) = 48/\pi^4, \\ l(\bar{D}_n, f_4) &= 1/3, \quad l(\bar{D}_n, f_5) = 1225/(432\pi^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} q_i(s)f_i(s)ds$, for $1 \leq i \leq 5$, are respectively $1/(4\sqrt{\pi})$, $-1/4$, $-1/\pi^2$, $-1/12$ and $-35/(144\pi)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} l(\bar{\omega}_n^1, f_1) &= 0.8952, \quad l(\bar{\omega}_n^1, f_2) = 45/16, \quad l(\bar{\omega}_n^1, f_3) = 45/\pi^4, \\ l(\bar{\omega}_n^1, f_4) &= 5/16, \quad l(\bar{\omega}_n^1, f_5) = 6125/(2304\pi^2). \end{aligned}$$

Finally knowing that $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} q_i^2(s)f_i(s)ds$ are respectively 0.02914 , 0.09107 , $3/(2\pi^4)$, $13/1260$ and $1225/(62208\pi^2) + (46189 + 39200\pi^2)/(663552\pi^4)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} l(\bar{\omega}_n^2, f_1) &= 0.91154, \quad l(\bar{\omega}_n^2, f_2) = 2.84924, \quad l(\bar{\omega}_n^2, f_3) = 0.48176, \\ l(\bar{\omega}_n^2, f_4) &= 0.32278, \quad l(\bar{\omega}_n^2, f_5) = 0.27204. \end{aligned}$$

According to (6) we need also the variances $\sigma^2(f)$ which are in our cases respectively 1 , $\pi^2/3$, $1/2$, $1/3$ and $1/3$. We summarize our calculations in Table 1 where for comparison we also report the local efficiency of classical statistics D_n , ω_n^1 , ω_n^2 and U_n^2 given in [8] for skew alternatives corresponding to the same five densities.

Table 1: Local Bahadur efficiencies under skew alternatives.

Statistic	Distribution				
	Gauss	Logistic	Arcsine	Uniform	Student-5
D_n	0.637	0.584	0.810	0.750	0.540
ω_n^1	0.955	0.912	0.985	1	0.862
ω_n^2	0.907	0.855	1	0.987	0.802
U_n^2	0.486	0.420	0.662	0.658	0.373
\bar{D}_n	0.955	0.912	0.985	1	0.862
$\bar{\omega}_n^1$	0.895	0.855	0.924	0.938	0.808
$\bar{\omega}_n^2$	0.912	0.866	0.963	0.968	0.816
\bar{U}_n^2	0.900	0.846	1	0.986	0.792

The inspection of this table and its comparison with Table 3 in [15, p.80] and corresponding tables in [9] and [10] shows that the ordering of tests is similar to the location case. This is favorable for practitioners: they seldom know the structure of the alternative but can use the same test both for the location and skew models.

However the efficiencies of integrated statistics are in most cases *considerably higher than of classical ones*. This justifies the use of integrated statistics for skew alternatives.

Note that the efficiencies of the statistics \bar{D}_n and ω_n^1 coincide. It is not surprising as they have the same local indices. It explains the maximal efficiency 1 attained by \bar{D}_n for the uniform distribution, while for ω_n^1 the same was discovered in [8]. Another curious observation is that for the normal law the efficiencies under location and skew alternatives coincide. This is a characteristic property of the normal law, see [8]. The efficiency 1 for \bar{U}_n^2 for the arcsine density is unexpected and will be interpreted below.

Note that the so-called Pitman limiting relative efficiency of the considered statistics is equal to the local Bahadur efficiency under somewhat stronger regularity conditions. It can be verified in the same way as in [17] and [15].

Lachal in an interesting paper [13] studied p -fold integrated empirical processes and corresponding statistics. He considered, however, only location alternatives. For $p = 0$ his results coincide with the conclusions of [9] and [10]. Moreover, for $p > 1$ his tests demonstrate the decrease of efficiency (found numerically) when p grows,

but the theoretical calculations are hardly possible.

5. Conditions of local optimality.

As is well known [4], [15, Ch.6] the local asymptotic optimality (LAO) of a sequence $\{T_n\}$ in Bahadur sense means that $e^B(T) = 1$ or, by (4), one has

$$l(T, f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 f(x) dx. \quad (7)$$

We are interested in those densities f when (7) is true; such densities under corresponding regularity conditions form the so-called *domain of LAO*. The study of this "inverse" problem was started by Nikitin (1984). The *a priori* regularity conditions are described in [15, Ch.6], we underline the assumption $f(x) > 0$ for all x . In the sequel C_1, C_2, \dots denote some indefinite non-null real constants.

Note first of all that $\rho(s) := \int_{-\infty}^s \int_{-\infty}^x u f(u) du f(x) dx$ attains its maximum for $s = \infty$. Indeed, the extremum condition is $\rho'(s) = f(s) \int_{-\infty}^s u f(u) du = 0$, and as $f > 0$, we see that $\rho'(s) = 0$ only for $s = \infty$.

Let apply this argument for the Kolmogorov statistic. Due to symmetry of f , we get, integrating by parts and applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, that

$$\begin{aligned} l(D, f) &= 12 \sup_s \left(\int_{-\infty}^s v(x) f(x) dx \right)^2 = 12 \sup_s \left(\int_{-\infty}^s \int_{-\infty}^x u f(u) du f(x) dx \right)^2 = \\ &= 12 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{-\infty}^x u f(u) du f(x) dx \right)^2 = 12 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} u (F(u) - \frac{1}{2}) f(u) du \right)^2 \leq \\ &\leq 12 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 f(u) du \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F(u) - \frac{1}{2})^2 dF(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 f(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the condition of LAO (7) in virtue of the condition of equality in Cauchy-Schwarz inequality reduces to the condition

$$F(x) - 1/2 = C_1 x \quad (8)$$

on the support of f . This implies that f is constant on a symmetric interval around zero. We consider this as a characterization of the symmetric uniform distribution.

We remark that the local optimality of the same statistic \bar{D}_n under the location alternative is valid for logistic distribution, see [9], this emphasizes the difference between these two types of alternatives.

The arguments for the sequence $\{\bar{\omega}_n^1\}$ are similar but the result is different. We have, using integration by parts, the symmetry of the density f and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
l(\bar{\omega}^1, f) &= 45 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(s) f(s) ds \right)^2 = 45 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{-\infty}^s v(x) f(x) dx f(s) ds \right)^2 = \\
&= 45 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x) (1 - F(x)) f(x) dx \right)^2 = \frac{45}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x) d((1 - F(x))^2) \right)^2 = \\
&= \frac{45}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} x \left((1 - F(x))^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right) f(x) dx \right)^2 \leq \\
&\leq \frac{45}{4} \int_0^1 (z^2 - 1/3)^2 dz \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 f(x) dx = \sigma^2(f).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the condition of equality in Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we see that the condition of LAO is valid iff

$$(1 - F(x))^2 - \frac{1}{3} = C_2 x \quad (9)$$

on the support of symmetric f . This is impossible, unlike (8), since for symmetric distribution function F we have $F(0) = \frac{1}{2}$, and this contradicts the equation (9).

For the integrated statistic $\bar{\omega}_n^2$ such direct arguments are problematic. Therefore we will apply the general theory developed in [15, Ch.6]. According to it, any sequence of statistics $\{T_n\}$ defines the "leading function" v_T (or sometimes a set of them) which specifies the most efficient direction in the space of alternatives $H(x, \theta)$. To describe the domain of LAO we need to solve the equation

$$H'_\theta(x, 0) = C_3 v_T(F(x)) \text{ with some constant } C_3.$$

The set of alternatives $H(x, \theta)$ should satisfy some regularity conditions listed and discussed in [15, Ch.6]. The skew family (2) under conditions 1-3 satisfies them for a very broad set of densities f and distribution function's G . Hence we can apply this theory subject to knowledge of "leading functions" which can be at times very involved. For the integrated statistic $\bar{\omega}_n^2$ the set of leading functions was found in [9] by variational methods and consists of eigenfunctions of some boundary-value problem, namely

$$\psi_j(x) = \cos \kappa_j \sinh(\kappa_j(1 - x)) + \cosh(\kappa_j) \sin(\kappa_j(1 - x)), \quad j \geq 1,$$

with κ_j being the consecutive positive zeros of the equation $\tan(x) + \tanh(x) = 0$. Consider the first of these functions ψ_1 . It does not change its sign on $[0, 1]$. Hence the distribution function F of interest for us has to satisfy the differential equation

$$\int_{-\infty}^x uf(u)du = C_4 (\cos \kappa_1 \sinh(\kappa_1(1 - F(x))) + \cosh \kappa_1 \sin(\kappa_1(1 - F(x))).$$

Differentiating this equation, we can obtain on the support of f an implicit equation for F but we are not able to obtain its explicit solution.

It is curious that the more complicated integrated statistic \bar{U}_n^2 has a much simpler domain of LAO. The leading functions here [10] are $\sin(\pi jx)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Only the first function keeps the sign on $[0, 1]$ so that we arrive to the differential equation

$$\int_{-\infty}^x uf(u)du = C_5 \sin \pi F(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

After differentiation we get the equation

$$f(x)(x - C_6 \cos \pi F(x)) = 0,$$

which results on the set $\{x : f(x) \neq 0\}$ in the solution

$$F(x) = 1 - \pi^{-1} \arccos(x/C_7) = \pi^{-1} \arcsin(x/C_6) + 1/2, \quad -C_7 \leq x \leq C_7,$$

corresponding to the symmetric arcsine density

$$f(x) = \left(\pi \sqrt{C_7^2 - x^2} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{1}\{-C_7 \leq x \leq C_7\}.$$

It may be observed that we got a characterization of arcsine density by the property of LAO for \bar{U}_n^2 under the skew alternative. This explains the appearance of 1 in the last row in Table 1 above.

6. Acknowledgement

The research of second author was supported by RFBR grant No. 16-01-00258.

References

- [1] Alvarez-Andrade S., Bouzebda S., Lachal, A., 2015. Some asymptotic results for the integrated empirical process with applications to statistical tests. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1505.07345>

- [2] Azzalini, A., 1985. A class of distributions which includes the normal ones. *Scand. J. Stat.*, 12, 171–178.
- [3] Azzalini, A. with the collaboration of Capitanio, A., 2014. *The Skew-Normal and Related Families*. Cambridge University Press.
- [4] Bahadur, R.R., 1967. Rates of convergence of estimates and test statistics. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 38, 303– 324.
- [5] Bahadur, R.R., 1971. *Some limit theorems in statistics*, SIAM, Philadelphia.
- [6] Bouzebda, S., El Faouzi, N. E., 2012. New two-sample tests based on the integrated empirical copula processes. *Statistics*, 46, 313-324.
- [7] Durio, A., Nikitin, Ya.Yu., 2002. On asymptotic efficiency of certain distribution-free symmetry tests under skew alternatives, *Studi in onore di A.Zanella*, a cura di B. Frosini, U. Magagnoli, G. Boari, Vita e Pensiero, 223 – 239.
- [8] Durio, A., Nikitin, Ya.Yu., 2003. Local Bahadur efficiency of some goodness-of-fit tests under skew alternatives, *Journ. of Stat. Plann. Infer.*, 115, 171–179.
- [9] Henze, N., Nikitin, Ya. Yu., 2000. A new approach to goodness-of-fit testing based on the integrated empirical process. *Journ. Nonpar. Stat.* 12, 391 – 416.
- [10] Henze, N., Nikitin, Ya.Yu., 2002. Watson-type goodness-of-fit tests based on the integrated empirical process. *Math. Meth. Statist.*, 11, 183 – 202.
- [11] Jing, P., Wang, J., 2006. Testing the equality of multivariate distributions using the bootstrap and integrated empirical processes. *Commun. in Stat.Theor. and Meth.*, 35, N 4, 661-670.
- [12] Kuriki, S., Hwang H.-K., 2013. Anderson-Darling type goodness-of-fit statistic based on a multifold integrated empirical distribution function. *Proc. 59th ISI World Statistics Congr. 25-30 August 2013, Hong Kong*, 3774 – 3778.
- [13] Lachal, A., 2001. Study of some new integrated statistics: computation of Bahadur efficiency, relation with non-standard boundary value problems. *Mathem. Meth. of Statist.* 10, 73–104.
- [14] Nikitin, Ya.Yu., 1984. Bahadur local asymptotic optimality and characterization problems. *Theory Probab. Applic.* 29, 79–92.

- [15] Nikitin, Ya., 1995. Asymptotic efficiency of nonparametric tests. Cambridge University Press, NY; 2nd ed., 2009.
- [16] Shorack, G., Wellner, J., 1986. Empirical processes with applications to statistics. Wiley, NY.
- [17] Wieand, H.S., 1976. A condition under which the Pitman and Bahadur approaches to efficiency coincide, *Ann. Statist.*, 4, 1003 – 1011.